IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 12987 of 2005

Between:

K. Raghuram Babu,

S/o. Sri K. Venkata Subbaiah,

Hindu, Railway Protection Force,

D.C.M. Comound, Secunderabad,

R/o. Rail Quarter No. 339/3,
Southlalguda, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Chief Security Commissioner,

Railway Protection Force, Rail Nilayam,
Secunderabad.

2. The Deputy Chief Security Commissioner,

R.P.F/S.C. Head Quarters Office, Security
Branch, Railnilayam, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

* * *

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed to declare the order of the 1st respondent communicated through the 2nd respondent by proceedings No. X/P. 529/1/IX dated 12-1-2005 as illegal, arbitrary and consequently to set aside the same to the extent of its failure to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion as Inspector pursuant to his appearance in the promotion test for Inspector on 24-6-1996 to 26-6-1996 and consequently to direct the respondents to declare the result of the petitioner in the test dated 24-6-1996 and include his name in the panel dated 13-9-1996 and place his name in the seniority list basing on the result of the test dated 24-6-1996 promote him as Inspector and allow him all the consequential monetary benefits and arrears etc., as the period of petitioner's

suspension is treated as on duty.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

3. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for Railways brought to the notice of this Court the proceedings dated 05.07.2005 and submitted that the competent authority decided to effect promotion to the petitioner from 1996 panel duly interpolating his name at the appropriate place. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner contended that this proceeding do not indicate about the monetary benefits granting liberty to the petitioner to make a fresh representation with regard to the giving of monetary benefits with effect from 1996.

4. The writ petition is disposed of since the competent authority already decided to give promotion to the petitioner with effect from 1996 panel. No costs.

_____

K.C.BHANU,J.

Date: 22nd August 2005.

BSB